I. INTRODUCTION

26

27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5.2.2(a), Plaintiffs Patagonia, Inc. ("Patagonia") respectfully moves for administrative relief, to file under seal selected portions of Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff's Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Patagonia, Inc.'s Ex Parte Application For Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause ("TRO Application"), Declaration of Traci Escamilla in Support of Patagonia, Inc.'s Ex Parte Application For Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause ("Escamilla Decl."), Declaration of Paymaneh Parhami in Support of Patagonia, Inc.'s Ex Parte Application For Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause ("Parhami Decl."), Proposed Order Granting Plaintiff Patagonia, Inc.'s Application for Temporary Restraining Order and Order to Show Cause ("TRO Proposed Order"), Application for Leave to File Under Seal ("Application to Seal"), Declaration of Kourtney Speer in Support of Application for Leave to File Under Seal ("Speer Decl."), and Proposed Order Granting Plaintiff Patagonia, Inc.'s Application for Leave to File Under Seal ("Speer Decl.").

II. APPLICABLE LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The law of the Ninth Circuit governs motions to file under seal. See Apple Inc. v. Samsung Elecs. Co., 727 F.3d 1214, 1220 (Fed. Cir. 2013). The Ninth Circuit has held that compelling reasons may outweigh the public's interest in disclosure and justify sealing of court records. See Kamakana v. City & County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 11785 (9th Cir. 2006). For example, courts have recognized that good cause exists to seal documents that discuss confidential, non-public investigative processes and procedures. See Zeitlin v. Bank of Am., N.A., No. 218CV01919RFBBNW, 2022 WL 3346957, at *1 (D. Nev. Aug. 11, 2022). Specifically, courts in the Ninth Circuit regularly seal motions for emergency relief against counterfeit operations, in order to protect the plaintiff and public's ability to recover meaningful relief against such predatory schemes. See, e.g., Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Shenzhen Usource Tech. Co., No. 5:20-CV-04773-EJD, 2020 WL 5199434, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 17, 2020) (explaining the court's decision to seal documents related to Cisco's application for a temporary restraining order, in order to preserve the integrity of plaintiff's investigation and the possibility of relief). Courts also recognize an overriding interest in preventing disclosure of business information that may harm a litigant's competitive standing. See,

e.g., Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978); Apple, 727 F.3d at 1221-22 (discussing competitive harm to business).

In addition to filing its Complaint, Patagonia is also seeking a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO") against the Defendants, based on Defendants' operation of an elaborate, widespread counterfeiting scheme. To preserve the effectiveness of the TRO and protect the integrity of the relief sought, Patagonia respectfully requests leave to file its Complaint, TRO Application, and supporting documents, under seal. Rather than seek to seal the Complaint and TRO Application in their entireties, Patagonia has filed redacted versions of both documents, reflecting redactions tailored to the truly confidential information (including Defendants' identities).

Providing Defendants with advance notice of the TRO filing would likely frustrate the purpose of the requested relief. Courts have recognized that notice may be excused where it would "render fruitless the further prosecution of the action." *Rovio Ent. Ltd. v. Royal Plush Toys, Inc.*, 907 F. Supp. 2d 1086, 1094 (N.D. Cal. 2012). Publicly filing these documents would risk tipping off Defendants, giving them a window to destroy critical evidence (including the counterfeit products themselves), move or conceal assets, and otherwise obstruct enforcement efforts.

Therefore, Patagonia asks that these documents remain sealed until each Defendant is served or the Court orders otherwise. In recent enforcement actions filed by Patagonia involving similar conduct, including the use of third-party platforms, public filings have attracted significant media attention, including coverage on social media platforms. Declaration of Kourtney Speer ("Speer Decl."), ¶ 3. Based in part on that experience, Patagonia has reason to believe that public filing of this action is likely to alert the Defendants prematurely, significantly impairing Patagonia's ability to obtain effective relief.

III. THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS OR PORTIONS THEREOF SHOULD BE SEALED

Patagonia respectfully requests sealing of the following materials:

Document	Portion to be	Party	Reason for
	Sealed	Designating	Sealing
Complaint	Caption; Selected	Plaintiff	Confidential
	Portions of		investigation of
	Introduction;		counterfeiting
	Selected Portions		scheme and
	of ¶¶ 2-12, 17,		identification of Defendants.
	26-37; Exhibit A		(Speer Decl. ¶ 5)
TRO Application	Caption; Selected	Plaintiff	Confidential
TRO Application	Portions of Table		investigation of
	of Contents;		counterfeiting
	Selected Portions		scheme and
	of Introduction;		identification of
	and Selected		Defendants.
	Portions of Pages		(Speer Decl. ¶ 6)
	5-8, 10-12, 14,		
	and 16-22.		
Escamilla Decl.	Caption; and	Plaintiff	Confidential
	Selected portions		investigation of
	of ¶¶ 24-27.		counterfeiting
			scheme and identification of
			Defendants.
			(Speer Decl. ¶ 7)
Parhami Decl. and	Full Declaration	Plaintiff	Confidential
Exhibits 1-19	and Exhibits 1-19		investigation of
			counterfeiting
			scheme and
			identification of
			Defendants.
			(Speer Decl. ¶ 8)
TRO Proposed	Caption; Selected	Plaintiff	Confidential
Order	Portions of Pages		investigation of
	4-8, 10-14;		counterfeiting
	Schedule A and		scheme and
	Schedule B.		identification of Defendants.
			(Speer Decl. ¶ 9)
Application to	Caption	Plaintiff	Caption
rppnounon to	Capaon	1 101111111	Capilon

Reason for

identifies the

Defendants. (Speer Decl. ¶

identifies the

Defendants. (Speer Decl. ¶

identifies the

Defendants. (Speer Decl. ¶

Sealing

named

10)

Caption

named

11)

Caption

named

12)

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Document	Portion to be	Party	
2		Sealed	Designating	
3	Seal	2007011	2 0%1811111111111111111111111111111111111	
4				
5				
6			D1 : .:00	
7	Speer Decl.	Caption	Plaintiff	
8				
9				
10				
11	Sealing Proposed Order	Caption	Plaintiff	
12				
13				
14				
15	This application is submitted to the Court in conjunc			

tion with the following documents, as required by Local Rule 79-5.2.2:

- 1. Declaration of Kourtney Speer in Support of Patagonia's Application for Leave to File Under Seal (redacted and unredacted);
- 2. Unredacted versions of Patagonia's Complaint, TRO Application, Escamilla Decl., Parhami Decl, and TRO Proposed Order;
- Redacted versions of Complaint, TRO Application, Escamilla 3. Decl., and TRO Proposed Order; and
- Proposed Order Granting Patagonia's Application for Leave to 4. File Under Seal (redacted and unredacted).

IV. **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Patagonia respectfully requests that the Court permit